

Russian Elites on Interpersonal Trust: Interview Evidence

Åse B. Grødeland

NORRUSS Project No 228231/H30 (Research Council of Norway)

Fafo – with Levada, Kryshtanovskaya Laboratory, Univ. of Maryland, Univ. of Melbourne

TRUST

2 of the 3 GSS standard questions on trust:

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful dealing with people?

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you, or would they try to be fair?

350 structured & open-ended in-depth interviews with Russian elites

4 wealthy regions --- 4 poor regions --- Moscow (nat/cap level)

QUESTIONS

- What do elites understand by 'trust' and 'other people'?
- How big is their radius of 'most people'?
- Do elites answer the trust questions as professionals or ordinary citizens?
- Are questions answered generally or by referring to personal experience?

ANSWERS

- Trust to some extent linked to performance, but mostly used in general terms
- Other people predominantly understood in three ways:
 - <u>Generally</u>: 'people', 'the majority', 'the average person'
 - <u>Personal sphere</u>: 'people in own circle' (relatives, friends, acquaintances)
 - <u>Professional sphere</u>: 'people one deals with at work'
- Fairly general replies to first question, more personal/professionallybased responses to the second question
- Continuum of trust (question 1)
- Some skepticism to the standard questions (black and white; provocative, unclear)

ANSWERS (CONTINUED)

- Some differences, wealthy and poor regions;
- Government officials: highest percentage believing that people are negative to their profession,

BUT

- (together with judges): highest percentage thinking that other people can be trusted
- highest percentage thinking that people are likely to be fair

Table 1 Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful dealing with people?

	Russia – total	Wealthy regions	Poor regions	Moscow			
Can be trusted	41	48	37	36			
Should trust – but verify	5	5	7	3			
Should trust – but cautiously	5	7	6	3			
Can't be too careful	28	23	28	36			
Mix	13	10	15	15			
Neither	1		1				
Other	7	7	6	8			
N=	(356)	(135)	(143)	(78)			
Note: Entries are based on the percentages of relevant 'text units' – the total number of answers to a given question;							
so entries sum up to approximately 100% (decimals rounded up or down to the nearest whole number).							

Table 2 Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful							
dealing with people? BY Category of respondents (text units in %)							
	Elected reps	Government	Judges	Prosecutors	Police	Lawyers	Univ.
		officials					teachers
Can be trusted	39	56	59	30	28	29	39
Should trust - but	5	5	4	9	7		4
			10				
Should trust - but	5	3	10	1	9		
Capit he teo careful	22	22	14	27	40	E.2	10
	33	23	10	21	4Z	32	13
Mix	12	10	8	18	7	14	24
	10	10	Ū	10	1	17	27
Neither				2			2
Other	6	3	4	7	7	5	17
N=	(64)	(61)	(51)	(56)	(57)	(21)	(46)
Note: Entries are based on the percentages of relevant 'text units' – the total number of answers to a given question; so							
entries sum up to approximately 100% (decimals rounded up or down to the nearest whole number).							

Table 3 Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you, or would they try to be fair? Russia - total Wealthy regions Poor regions Moscow Most people would try to take 33 27 31 46 advantage 35 Most people would try to be fair 30 31 20 Mix 21 24 19 19 Other 16 14 19 14 (346) (133) (134) (79) N=

<u>Note</u>: Entries are based on the percentages of relevant 'text units' – the total number of answers to a given question; so entries sum up to approximately 100% (decimals rounded up or down to the nearest whole number).

Table 4 Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you, or would they try to be fair? BY Category of respondents

	Elected reps	Government officials	Judges	Prosecutors	Police	Lawyers	Univ. teachers
Take advantage	40	25	19	33	45	50	31
Be fair	22	43	34	35	17	25	33
Mix	20	25	25	18	26	5	16
Other	18	8	23	14	12	20	20
N=	(60)	(61)	(53)	(49)	(58)	(20)	(45)
Note: Entries are based on the percentages of relevant (text units' - the total number of answers to a given							

<u>Note</u>: Entries are based on the percentages of relevant 'text units' – the total number of answers to a given question; so entries sum up to approximately 100% (decimals rounded up or down to the nearest whole number).

Thank you for your attention!

Work in progress – please do not cite without prior permission from the author.

Email: ase.b.grodeland@fafo.no