

SAMSPEL BEREKRAFT NYSKAPING

Activation as integration: Changing contexts for the street level in Norway

Kjetil G. Lundberg Oslo 12. o<u>ctober20-3</u>

Theoretical and methodological orientations

SLB theory: Time-honored accounts of professional discretion and dilemmas in professional practice

IE: Methodology for researching the institutional complex from people's standpoint

(key words: standpoint, work, text, ruling relations)

STREET-LEVEL Institutional BUREAUCRACY DILEMMAS OF Ethnography THE INDIVIDUAL IN PUBLIC SERVICES MICHAEL LIPSKY Practice 240414 Dorothy E. Smith

2

Hidden messages in a digital form –social allowance application

You can read and write – in Norwegian and with digital tools You have a residence, a bank account, citizenship and a phone

own words, but only

You have 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 children

Your economic challenges is likely to affect your childrne but that's not up to you to evaluate

You are only acknowledged as a job seeker if you are followed up by NAV



SØKNAD OM ØKONOMISK SOSIALHJELP I

Etternavn	Fornavn	
Adresse	Fødselsnummer (11 siffer)	
Postnr/Sted	Telefon	
Statsborgerskap	Kontonummer	

Det søkes hjelp til: □ Bidrag til livsopphold □ Bidrag til boutgifter □ Andre formål – spesifiser: □ økonomisk råd/veiledning:

Kort begrunnelse for søknaden:

Sivilstand Ektefelle/ samboer	Ugift Gift Personnummer: Adresse	Samboer Sepa	ert/skilt 🛛 Enke/enkemann 🔲 Registrert partne avn:	
	NAVN	Fødselsnummer	Barnehage/skole/arbeid	Bor sammen med søker
Barn			ž	□ Ja □ Delt 50/50 □ Ne
	-			□ Ja □ Delt 50/50 □ Ne
				□ Ja □ Delt 50/50 □ Ne
				□ Ja □ Delt 50/50 □ Ne
	Skjema «kartlegging av l	barns situasjon» fylles ut i sai	mtale med veileder	
Boforhold	eier bolig/leilighet	leier enebolig	□ bor med foreldre	andre personer som
	uten fast bosted	leier leilighet/rekkehu:	s 🛛 institusjon	bor i boligen
	🗆 omsorgsbolig	□ annet		
Bostøtte	Innvilget	🗆 Ja 🗆 Nei	Venter på svar	
Arbeids-/	SØKER		EKTEFELLE/SAMBOER	
utdannings- forhold			arbeid heltid. Arbeidsgiver	
	arbeid deltid. Arbeidsgiver		arbeid deltid. Arbeidsgiver	
	□ skoleplass		skoleplass	
	arbeidssøker med oppfølging fra NAV ikke arbeidssøker		arbeidssøker med oppfølging fra NAV	
			□ ikke arbeidssøker	
Trygd/ Pensjon	SØKER Venter på svar på krav om stønad etter folketrygdlov		EKTEFELLE/SAMBOER Venter på svar på krav om stønad etter folketrygdlov	
•	🗆 Nei 🗆 Ja: spesifiser:		□ Nei □ Ja: spesifiser:	

Sliden er laget av Heidi Bøhnhoff

Context

- Active labour market policies from 90-es and 00-es
- Between conditionality and personalisation
- The Nav reform (2007-2011); A major policy and organizational reform: work inclusion, service integration across state-level and municipal services, and service improvement for users as top priorities (see Andreassen & Aars; 2015).
- > Yet, later interest in co-creation and innovation in activation services (Larsen & Caswell, 2022; Clasen & Mascaro 2022; Breit et al, 2017)
- > 2015-present: a range of new work inclusion initiatives and programs within the Nav system that use methods and resources that are extended beyond the standard follow-up service (see e.g., Gjersøe 2021; Bakkeli & Breit, 2022; Bakken & van der Wel, 2022).
- Targeted groups: Immigrants, youth, people with mental illness
- Recent policy framing additions to work inclusion: child poverty, child orientation

Ideals, realities and dilemmas at the street level of NAV

- «I en arbeids- og velferdsforvaltning som setter brukeren i sentrum må organisering, arbeidsmåter, rutiner, ledelse, personalpolitikk og administrativ kultur gjennomsyres av respekt for brukeren som menneske og enkeltindivid.» (St.Prp Nr. 46 (2004-2005):10).
- «Jeg vil forsterke plikten i mottet "Gjør din plikt, krev din rett" (...) Mitt budskap er derfor at sosialklienter også skal stå opp om morran. De skal ut å delta i samfunnet» (tidl. Arbeidsminister Bjarne Håkon Hanssen, i Dagbladet, 9.11.2005).







ORIGINAL ARTICLE

.

Digitalization, Street-Level Bureaucracy and Welfare Users' Experiences

Hans-Tore Hansen 💌,	Kjetil Lundberg 🔀,	Liv Johanne S	Syltevik 💌
---------------------	--------------------	---------------	------------



SOCIAL-POLICY Administration	<u>Volume 52, I</u>
Anger and	January 2018
	Pages 67-90
REFE	

Advertisement



52, Issue 1





Nav-brukere går til biblioteket for å få hjelp



, 長

9°C

[7]

63

*

+

Iterations of Work Inclusion beyond the standard(ized) service

 Our definition of "standard service": ...the minimum follow-up process of the work assessment allowance scheme and economic social assistance allowance. The standard service is characterized by high caseloads combined with scarce resources, and has a more generalist approach to case management, with strong elements of conditionality and enforcement of compliance.





Skriv her for å søke

Family coordinator in 'New Patterns'



Family coordinators at work - Building trust and change through a combination of administrative, practical and emotional support

- The start of the relationship: A hectic period for the family coordinator
- «When we enter... they may have built up a house rent delay, perhaps a warning on termination of the housing contract, a lot of unpaid bills and they have completely lost the overview (...) but I experience that for most of the families, it takes 6 months to a year...» (family coordinator)
- Mapping of the family's situation
- Practical help of many kind
- Coordinators come in position with the families trust bulding
- Involving the different services around the family; activating rights and needs establishing new objectives
- (e.g. children's needs, education, employment, health improvements, housing...)

Trust, timing and sensitivity

- «... we are not only coordinators on the system level, sometimes we are next of kin, social support, "friend", mental nurse, taxi driver... we are a lot of things on the system level, coordinating and cooperation with the other services and get them going, enabling cooperation, but also down on the ground, help them with homework and get them through exams"
- Relationship, trust and knowledge is built over time; time sensitive work
- Family coordinators available to the families over time
- "Those that struggle to get trust and that really hold their guard up, with those it is especially positive that we have so much time available, because they have often been a little critical and abrupt with the services and then they have been quickly dismissed, while in this project we can use some time with building trust. To take our time it takes, to allow some breaks, that they don't show up... I feel that we can be very flexible in encounters with families" (family coordinator)
- Ongoing negotiations on focus and objectives

Experience of having a family coordinator: before and after

"The one that has been the best help for us is certainly (the name of the family coordinator)".

"I can't call it help, what I've been given by NAV. They treat me like I understand their routines, and I don't get it. They send me mail – I don't know what it says or where to turn to"

"Compared to that situation, life has gone from hell to heaven."

"I've left behind my suicidal thoughts."

The family coordinator is a human being for them, whom they have confidence in, not a system where they are powerless, they find that they have finally received help.

The five year intervention: Shifting focus over time; from mother to youth

- Development of focus and relationships over time
- It takes longer time to build relationships with children and youth
- Evolving knowledge on the family's needs

- "We start with landing some obvious things, such as living conditions or that they haven't paid housing rent for three months. When we have got those all-consuming things out of the way, we release resources to work with other things". (family coordinator)
- "There's a lot of things in the family that we settle early (in the relationship/time span), like economics, debt counseling, online bank assistance and those things. Then it becomes calmer in the family and we get to become more focused on children and youth" (family coordinator)

Concluding discussion – New measures offer increased participation

- > The four measures place personalised service and relational aspects of social work and people processing at the core of service delivery through strengthening the service user- key worker relation, dynamics between capability building and conditionality-based approaches to unemployment and social exclusion.
- > Key workers acted as bridge builders and advocates for service users, often going beyond their formal roles, to provide practical tips and information to service users, negotiating systemic barriers to enable users to access the benefits they are entitled to, and, in case of employment-related activities, edifying the resourcefulness of jobseekers to potential employees.
- In contrast to the standard service, the close cooperation between service workers and service users in the production of services in our measures has the potential to improve access to services, while also providing useful feedback for the overall service system. The input from service users, albeit at later stages of implementation, contribute to reducing the democratic deficit that is inherent in many activation policies; that of absence of service users' lived experiences in social policy design (Wright 2016; Speed & Reeves, 2023).

Concluding discussion – Limits to extending the standard service

- Yet, a cautionary dimension, is to what extent personalised service and its core ideals of relational work can be generalised and rolled into the mainstream service.
- Mixed signals regarding the implementation of personalised services at various offices, especially related to the context specific nature of interpretations that follow personalisation (variation from genuine participation towards processes of social inclusion to activation at all costs, producing minor variation from the standard service.
- > The individual citizen cannot freely choose between the versions of personalised services offered.
- > While all four measures emphasise voluntariness and aim to tailor services to individual circumstances, they exist within the institutional set up of Nav, where conditionality drives user interfaces.
- Although participatory, the arenas of bureaucratic decision-making cannot change the basic power relations.
- Risk of creaming that the most employable are prioritized for follow-up at the expense of people with the greatest challenges (Gjersøe & Strand, 2021).

References

- Bakkeli, V. & Breit, E. (2022). From "what works" to "making it work": A practice perspective on evidence-based standardization in frontline service organizations. *Social Policy & Administration*, 56(1), 87–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12757</u>
- Gjersøe, H. M. & Strand, A. H. (2021). The Street-Level Organisation in-between Employer Needs and Client Needs: Creaming Users by Motivation in the Norwegian Employment and Welfare Service (NAV). *Journal of Social Policy*, 1–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279421000933</u>
- Hansen, Hans-Tore, Kjetil G. Lundberg og Liv Johanne Syltevik (2018) «Digitalization, street-level bureaucracy and welfare users' experiences», *Social Policy & Administration*, Vol. 52, Nr. 1:67-90.
- Heidenreich, M. & Rice, D. (2016). Integrating social and employment policies at the local level: Conceptual and empirical challenges. I M. Heidenreich & D. Rice (Red.), *Integrating Social and Employment Policies in Europe: Active Inclusion and Challenges for Local Welfare Governance* (s. 16–50). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Larsen, F. & Caswell, D. (2022). Co-Creation in an era of Welfare Conditionality Lessons from Denmark. *Journal of Social Policy*, 51(1), 58–76. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279420000665
- Lundberg, Kjetil G. og Liv Johanne Syltevik (2016) "Everyday interaction at the front-line: the case of the Norwegian all-in-one bureaucracy", *Journal of Organizational Ethnography*, No. 2: 152-166.
- Skjold, Suzan Mbatudde & Kjetil G. Lundberg (2022) Accountability in personalized Supported Employment-based activation services. *Journal of Social Policy* (2022), p. 1-17.
- van Berkel, R., Caswell, D., Kupka, P. & Larsen, F. (2017). Frontline Delivery of Welfare-to-Work Policies in Europe: Activating the Unemployed. Taylor & Francis.